Case 1:17-cv-04469-KHP Document 69 Filed 04/12/19 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOSE VICTORIA et. al.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

PERFECTO PIZZA CORP. (d/b/a Lunetta Pizza & Restaurant), AMORE PIZZA CORP., MIDTOWN PIZZA CORP., LAMIA PIZZA & RESTAURANT CORP. (d/b/a La Mia Pizza), ABDELLATIF MAHMOUD, KHAIR MUHANA, and JIM HECKLER,

Defendants.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:___
DATE FILED: 04-12-2018

17-CV-4469 (KHP)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

KATHARINE H. PARKER, United States Magistrate Judge:

In this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act and the New York Labor Law, which is before this Court on the consent of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties, having reached an agreement in principle to resolve the action, have placed their proposed settlement agreement before this Court for approval. *See Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc.*, 796 F.3d 1999 (2d Cir. 2015) (requiring judicial fairness review of FLSA settlements). The parties have submitted a letter detailing why they believe the proposed settlement agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. (Dkt. 67.) This Court has reviewed the parties' submissions in order to determine whether the proposed agreement represents a reasonable compromise of the claims asserted in this action, and, in light of the totality of the relevant circumstances, including the representations made in the parties' letter, the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, and this Court's own familiarity with the strengths and weaknesses of the parties' positions, it is hereby ORDERED that:

Case 1:17-cv-04469-KHP Document 69 Filed 04/12/18 Page 2 of 2

1. The Court finds that the terms of the proposed settlement agreement are fair,

reasonable, and adequate, both to redress Plaintiff's claims in this action and to compensate

Plaintiff's counsel for their legal fees, and the agreement is therefore approved.

2. In accordance with the parties' request, this Court will retain jurisdiction over

this matter for the purpose of enforcing the settlement agreement, if necessary.

3. As a result of the Court's approval of the parties' proposed settlement, this

action is hereby discontinued with prejudice and without costs, provided, however, that, within

30 days of the date of this Order, if any aspect of written documentation of the settlement is

not completed, then Plaintiff may apply by letter for the restoration of the action to the active

calendar of the Court.

4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case on the Docket of the Court.

Dated: New York, New York April 11, 2018

SO ORDERED

KATHARINE H. PARKER

United States Magistrate Judge

rive H Parker

Copies to:

All counsel (via ECF)

2